

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,184	12/31/2003	Richard L. Franklin	374279-347633	4994
21302 7	7590 07/06/2006	6 EXAMINER		INER
KNOBLE, YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY EIGHT PENN CENTER			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
	1350, 1628 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/750,184	FRANKLIN, RICHARD L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachariah Lucas	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	ecember 2003					
	action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·— ··	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 142-161 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>142-161</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						
Paper (10(5)/(10tal)   Date						

Application/Control Number: 10/750,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 142-149 and 159-161, drawn to methods of removing dental plaque comprising the contacting of the plaque with a mixture of enzymes isolated from krill, classified in class 424, subclass 94.6.
  - II. Claims 150-158, drawn to methods of removing dental plaque comprising the contacting of the plaque with a multifunctional enzyme, classified in class 424, subclass 94.1.
- 2. For Group I above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Groups I or II, and id Group I is elected, election is also required of one of subinventions (A)- (C). Subgroups (A)-(C) represent the elected invention wherein the mixture of enzymes used in the claimed method is isolated from:
  - (A) krill of the genus Euphausia;
  - (B) krill of the genus Meganyctiphanes; or
  - (C) krill of the genus Tysanoessa.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. The inventions of Subgroups (A)-(C) are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation,

Application/Control Number: 10/750,184

Art Unit: 1648

function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the different methods each relate to method of removing dental plaque using a multicomponent combination of enzymes isolated from krill. However, in each case, the enzymes are isolated from krill representing a different genus of organisms, and are thus drawn to methods having different modes of operation, and wherein the compositions administered have a materially different design (i.e. different enzymes with different structures and sequences). The subgroups therefore represent distinct inventions.

4. The inventions of Groups I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to methods for the removal of dental plaque comprising the use of either a multicomponent combination of enzymes, or a single multifunctional enzyme. Thus, the inventions are drawn to methods of using compositions of materially different design, which have distinct modes of operation. The inventions are therefore distinct.

## Species Election

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

For Group I above, the Applicant is required to elect a combination of two enzymatic activities (as provided in claim 144) that must be present in the composition used in the claimed method.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,184

Art Unit: 1648

For Group II above, the Applicant is required to elect at least one of the enzymatic activities of claim 152 for the multifunctional enzyme used in the claimed method.

The species are independent or distinct because:

The inventions of the various species are directed to related inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the claimed species represent the use of different combinations of enzymatic activities that must be performed by the compositions used in the claimed method. As such methods require the use of different functional enzymatic activities, the species represent inventions with different designs, and different modes of operation. The inventions of the species are therefore distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 142, 150, and 159 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Application/Control Number: 10/750,184 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

### Examiner's Notes

6. It is noted that, in the preliminary amendment of July 1, 2004, the Applicant amended the specification of the Applicant to claim priority to prior application 09/549642. The Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows: An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)).

The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number. This reference must be submitted during the pendency of the later-filed application. If the later-filed application is an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a), this reference must also be submitted within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the later-filed application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior-filed application. These time periods are not extendable. Except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, the failure to timely submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section is considered a waiver of any benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) to such prior-filed application.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,184

Art Unit: 1648

A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional.

#### Oath/Declaration

7. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: The Oath/Declaration presented in the present application is purported to be the Oath/Declaration presented in prior application 08/600.273. It is noted that a continuation or divisional application need not comprise a newly executed Oath or Declaration if a compliant Oath or Declaration was included in a prior application. See e.g., 37 CFR 1.63 (d). However, the present application does not claim priority, either directly or indirectly, from the prior application identified in the submitted Oath or Declaration. As such, the present application is not a continuation or divisional of that application, and the Oath/Declaration of that application cannot serve as the Oath/Declaration of the present, unrelated, application.

# Conclusion

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because of different classifications, and because the literature and sequence searches required for any one of the groups is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,184 Page 7

Art Unit: 1648

9. It is noted that some of the restrictions requirements made above fall within the scope of PTO Linking claim practice. In accordance with this practice as described in MPEP 809.03, linking claims will be considered with the elected invention. If the elected invention is found allowable, the linking claim will also be examined. If no substantive rejection is found for the

Claims 142 and 159 are considered linking claims to Subgroups (A)-(C).

linking claim, the restriction among the Groups it comprises will be withdrawn.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z. Lucas 6/29/06
Patent Evaminer